

Elburton Primary School Pupil premium strategy statement 2018-19

The government believes that the pupil premium, which is additional to main school funding, is the best way to address the current underlying inequalities between children eligible for free school meals (FSM) and their peers by ensuring that funding to tackle disadvantage reaches the pupils who need it most. The pupil premium was introduced in April 2011 and is allocated to schools to work with pupils who have been registered for free school meals at any point in the last six years (known as 'Ever 6 FSM'). Schools also receive funding for children who have been looked after continuously for more than six months, and children of service personnel. The school has chosen to support qualifying pupils in a number of ways to ensure that academic and pastoral needs are addressed.

The school is committed to "closing the gap" for every pupil. It is important to recognize that the school regards all pupils irrespective of backgrounds as individuals and targets and reviews the progress of all pupils termly. In some year groups the number of pupil premium pupils is so small that we need to be cautious about drawing inferences from any findings, i.e. one or two pupils' achievement has a disproportionate impact on the data.

1. Summary information	1. Summary information for 2017-2018						
School	Elburton Primary School						
Academic Year	2017-18	Total PP budget 2017/18	£82080	Date of most recent PP Review	September 2018		
Total number of pupils	425	Number of pupils eligible for PP	35 Pupil Premium 6 Pupil Premium + 33 Service	Date for next internal review of this strategy	September 2019		

2. Current attainment 2017/18					
	Elburton Pupils eligible for PP	All Pupils National Averages			
% achieving in reading, writing and maths	72%	64%			
% achieving in reading	72%	75%			
% achieving in writing	86%	78%			
% achieving in maths	72%	76%			
progress in reading	TBC Nov 2018	TBC Nov 2018			

progress in writing	TBC Nov 2018	TBC Nov 2018
progress in maths	TBC Nov 2018	TBC Nov 2018

Outcomes 2017-18 Statutory Assessments

Year 6		Read	Write	Maths	Combined
	EX+	72%	86%	72%	72%
	HS/GD	43%	29%	43%	29%
7 Pupils	EX	29%	57%	29%	43%
	WT/X	29%	14%	29%	29%

Year 2		Read	Write	Maths
	EX+	84%	67%	67%
	GD	17%	17%	17%
6 Pupils	EX	67%	50%	50%
	WT	17%	34%	34%

Year 1		Phonics
	Pass	86%
	Not	
7 Pupils	Pass	14%

Foundation		GLD
5 Pupils	Achieved	40%
	Not	
	Achieved	60%

Internal Assessments

Year 1		Read	Write	Maths
	ARE	72%	57%	57%
7 Pupils	Not ARE	29%	43%	43%

Year 3		Read	Write	Maths
	ARE	77.7%	77.7%	77.7%
9 Pupils	Not ARE	22.3%	22.3%	22.3%

Year 4		Read	Write	Maths
	ARE	100%	80%	80%
4 Pupils	Not ARE	0%	20%	20%

Year 5		Read	Write	Maths
	ARE	40%	70%	50%
10 pupils	Not ARE	60%	30%	50%

3. Ba	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)				
In-scho	In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)				
A.	Language skills in Reception and KS1 are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils.				
В.	PP pupils working at greater depth in maths, reading and writing at the end of both Key Stages.				
C.	Pupils deemed to be disadvantaged in Reading, Writing and Maths are not ALL achieving age related expectations of attainment in ALL year groups.				
D.	Pupils' mental Health and wellbeing.				
E.	Pupils who are deemed eligible for pupil premium (ever 6, formerly LAC and CLA) who have additional specific barriers to their learning				
Externa	external barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)				
F.	Parental expectations, engagement and commitment.				

4. Planned expenditure 2018/19					
Academic year	2018/19 - £75,100 (43 ever 6, 4 post adopt, 1 CLA, 35 service)				

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and rationale for	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you
	approach	this choice?	implemented well?		review implementation?
n tracking and ntervening on pupil, ncluding pupil premium pupils, who are not making expected progress. anguage skills in Reception and KS1 are ower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils aspire to remedy this Pupils deemed to be disadvantaged in Reading, Writing and Waths are not ALL achieving age related expectations of attainment in ALL year groups - aspire to achieve this	Part resourcing of TAs to support underperforming pupils - focus on language skills Foundation and Key Stage 1 Also employment of part time teachers and Learning Zone team (see below) Deployment of specialist language schools - ie Talk Boost, Spirals	Through termly review of progress individuals who are underachieving are identified and strategies agreed to close the gap TAs - £12,000 Learning Zone - £14,600(contribution)	Termly Pupil Progress Meetings	HT/DH	Termly
To ensure that all pupils attain the phonics standards at the end of year 1	Additional teaching targeted at those pupils not making expected progress	Previous years use of this strategy has improved phonics standards to being above national averages £5,000 (contribution from Grant)	Phonics checks November, March Progress Sheets Lesson Observation 6 weekly Pupil Progress meetings	HJ/EG	Termly
			Total k	oudgeted cost	£31,600
ii. Targeted support			I	1	T
Desired outcome	Chosen	What is the evidence and rationale for	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you

	action/approach	this choice?	implemented well?		review implementation?
To narrow the gap between disadvantaged pupils and the rest of the school in writing, maths and reading through targeted support intervention focus on reducing gaps and year 3 cohort	Employment of additional staff - 1 part time teachers to engage in targeted three sessions after school - 2 supporting Literacy and 1 maths Part supporting Learning Zone where specific interventions are used with our most vulnerable and with a specific focus on support for the PP+ pupils	Through the schools assessment and recording system individual pupils not making the expected progress can readily be identified and during 6 weekly pupil progress meetings agreed strategies agreed. Data identifies year 6 PP pupils as being vulnerable also that the school needs to increase the number of higher achieving disadvantaged pupils £5000 (contribution to salary of part time teachers)	Termly Pupil Progress Meetings Termly scrutiny of progress of identified pupils - work scrutiny, interviews, data drop, direct observation	HT/DH	Termly

Focus on vulnerable children	Release time of 0.1 dedicated time for Intervention Lead to review, support and challenge impact of interventions. To ensure that statutory requirements for LAC and post LAC are in place To review learning behaviours	Effect interventions and support in place for identified pupils The school meets statutory requirements and deadlines Learning Behaviours throughout the school reflect the PACE training staff at the school have experienced £5500	Release time to monitor identified pupils and time in all classes observing engagement and learning	SL	September 2019
Specific support for underachieving year 2 PP pupil	Employment of part time TA to engage in specific activities to support specific aspects of social and academic development	Academic progress, social and emotional difficulties of this vulnerable child and underachieving pupil £4000	Regular meetings with SENCO Termly progress meetings	SENCO	Termly
Specific support for 3 vulnerable year 1 PP pupil	Employment of 2 TAsto engage in specific activities to support specific aspects of social and academic development	Academic progress, social and emotional difficulties of these vulnerable child Top up funding for 1 LAC and Former LAC Ensuring outcomes from eternal agencies and actions are met £10000	Regular meetings with SENCO Termly progress meetings	SENCO	Termly

Specific support for 1 vulnerable year 6 pupil	Employment of TA to engage in specific activities to support specific aspects of social and academic development	Top up funding £3000	Regular meetings with SENCO Termly progress meetings	SENCO	Termly	
pecific external gency support to ddress pupils' mental ealth and well being Commissioning of external agencies ie Psychology Associates, to work with pupils with specific needs		The school has noticeable of PP+ pupils that in some cases show the need for therapies. Other pupils in need of a therapeutic approach are also supported £4000	The appropriate external interventions are discussed with SENCO/HT/PSA and parents. The impact of interventions are measured where possible	SL/SENCO/ PSA/HT	Regular meetings	
			Total b	oudgeted cost	£31500	
iii. Other approaches			T		T	
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?	
To ensure that family	Francis was subject for with a					
and social factors impacting on the lives of pupils are alleviated so lessening barriers to learning.	Employment of Family and Pupil Mentor to support service families and other vulnerable groups	There is a broad economic divide at the school and it is important that disadvantaged pupils are offered same opportunities as other pupils. Family and Pupil Mentor £11000	All payments are passed through the HT.	HT/DH	July 2019	
and social factors impacting on the lives of pupils are alleviated so lessening	and Pupil Mentor to support service families and other vulnerable	school and it is important that disadvantaged pupils are offered same opportunities as other pupils.	, ,	нт/он	July 2019	

5. Review of expenditure 2017/18	
Previous Academic Year	2017-18 - £93120

Intervention	Budget	Intention	Outcome						
To deliver more rigour in tracking and intervening on	£13500	Part resourcing of TAs to support underperforming	whether at cla	tified as in need ass level or spec ammes for all p	cific intervent		_	-	• •
pupil, including		pupils - focus on	Year 1		Phonics		Read	Write	Maths
pupil premium		language skills		Pass	86%	ARE	72%	57%	57%
pupils, who are		Foundation and	7 Pupils	Not Pass	14%	Not ARE	29%	43%	43%
not making		Key Stage 1			I				
expected		Also	Year 2			Read	Write	Maths	
progress.		employment of			EX+	84%	67%	67%	
Language skills in Reception		part time teachers and			GD	17%	17%	17%	
and KS1 are		Learning Zone	6 Pupils		EX	67%	50%	50%	
lower for		team (see	0.100		WT	17%	34%	34%	
pupils eligible		below)			1	=7,7	0 1,70	0.75	J
for PP than for		Deployment of	Year 3			Read	Write	Maths]
other pupils		specialist	Tear 5		ARE	77.7%	77.7%	77.7%	
aspire to		language	9 Pupils		Not ARE	22.3%	22.3%	22.3%	
remedy this		intervention - ie	3 Fupiis		NOT AIL	22.3/0	22.3/0	22.3/0	
Pupils deemed to be		Talk Boost, Spirals	Year 4			Read	Write	Maths	
disadvantaged		Spirais	Tear 4		ARE	100%	80%	80%	
in Reading,			4 Pupils		Not ARE	0%	20%	20%	
Writing and			4 Pupiis		NOT ARE	U%	20%	20%	J
Maths are not			F	1		D I	NA / - *1 -	N A - 1 l]
ALL achieving			Year 5		4.0.5	Read	Write	Maths	
age related					ARE	40%	70%	50%	
expectations			10 pupils		Not ARE	60%	30%	50%	
of attainment				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
in ALL year			Year 6			Read	Write	Maths	Combined
groups - aspire to achieve this					EX+	72%	86%	72%	72%
to acmeve this									

					HS/GD	43	% 29	% 43	3%	29%
			7 Pupils		EX	29	% 57	% 29	9%	43%
					WT/X	29	% 14	% 29	9%	29%
						GLD				
			5 Pupils	Achieved		40'	%			
				Not						
				Achieved		60	%			
To ensure that	£10400									
all pupils attain			86% of pp pup	oils passed pho	nics screeni	ng 1 pupil 1	.4% did not			
the phonics										
standards at										
the end of year										
To narrow the	£9000	To ensure that family	Eamily suppor	rt worker was a	hlo to cupo	ort all punil	s in nood of	Foundart S	ho was	
gap between	19000	and social factors		volved with PP			s iii iieeu oi	support. 3	iie was	
disadvantaged		impacting on the	,		p = p = 0					
pupils and the		lives of pupils are	Year 3			Read	Write	Maths		
rest of the		alleviated so			ARE	77.7%	77.7%	77.7%	1	
school in		lessening barriers to learning. This makes	9 Pupils		Not ARE	22.3%	22.3%	22.3%	1	
writing, maths		a wide and deep		1	<u> </u>				_	
and reading		impact of students								
through		and deep								
targeted		involvement with								
support		families and ensuring any disadvantaged								
intervention - focus on		for FSM students is								
		reduced.								
reducing gaps and year 3										
cohort										
COHOIC										

Narrow attainment gap in writing and Specific support for underachieving year 6 PP pupil	£9500	To narrow the gap between children and the rest of the school in writing especially focusing on pupil premium pupils. In year 2 also working with higher achieving writers	Targeted support for pupils centred on underperformance of pp pupils. Two after school tutor groups also took place targeting underachievement of PP Year 2 PP Writing 67% (17% GD) School Averages 83% Year 6 PP Writing 86% (29% GD) School Averages 90% The year 6 pupil achieved ARE in writing and scored 99 in maths and reading - a considerable improvement on her key stage 1 results - writing level 1, reading and maths 2c
Specific external agency support to address pupils' mental health and well being	£8948	To narrow the gap between children and the rest of the school in numeracy especially focusing on pupil premium pupils.	Targeted support for pupils centred on underperformance of pp pupils 66% of this group achieved ARE in writing and 33% in reading and maths. Progress indicators are not yet available but internal records from the school suggests good progress for these pupils from Key Stage 1. Two after school tutor groups also took place targeting underachievement of PP
To ensure that family and social factors impacting on the lives of pupils are alleviated so lessening barriers to learning.	£9500	To narrow the gap between pupil premium pupils children and the rest of the school in all areas especially focusing on phonics.	See Above tables